Ultra Unification and Categorical Symmetry of the Standard Model from Gravitational Anomaly

Juven Wang

arXiv:2302.14862 v2

Previous work: 1910.14668 [JHEP], 2012.15860 [PRD], 2106.16248 [PRD], 2112.14765 [PRD], 2204.08393 [PRD],

w/ Pavel Putrov (ICTP),

Zheyan Wan (YMSC), Yi-Zhuang You (UCSD).

NYU Abu Dhabi, QI and QMatter, Fri, May 26, 2023

Juven Wang

Ultra Unification and Categorical Symmetry of the Standard Model: Slides on http://idear.info/



What is the missing piece on the Chessboard?

"left-handed" King \Leftrightarrow "right-handed" King?





Where is the missing "sterile right-handed neutrino $\bar{\nu}_R$ "?



Queen replaces the "sterile right-handed" King. What can replace the missing "sterile right-handed" (SU(2) singlet) neutrino $\bar{\nu}_R$?

Ultra Unification (2020): Nonperturbative discrete global anomaly cancellation permits to replace some of 0d particle $\bar{\nu}_R$ in 4d QFT to a fermionic 4d or 5d Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT) or 4d Conformal Field Theory (CFT) sector.

Introduction

4d Standard Model (SM) with $(15+1)N_f$ Weyl fermions coupled to Yang-Mills gauge $su(3)_c \times su(2)_L \times u(1)_{\tilde{Y}}$ in representation (rep):

 $u(1)_{Y} u(1)_{X}$

 $u(1)_{Y} u(1)_{X=5(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{L})-4Y}$

 $egin{aligned} &ar{d}_R \oplus \mathit{I}_L \oplus \mathit{q}_L \oplus ar{u}_R \oplus ar{e}_R \oplus ?ar{
u}_R \ &= (ar{\mathbf{3}}, oldsymbol{1})_{2,L} \oplus (oldsymbol{1}, oldsymbol{2})_{-3,L} \oplus (oldsymbol{3}, oldsymbol{2})_{1,L} \oplus (ar{\mathbf{3}}, oldsymbol{1})_{-4,L} \oplus (oldsymbol{1}, oldsymbol{1})_{6,L} \oplus ?(oldsymbol{1}, oldsymbol{1})_{0,L}. \end{aligned}$

- There are also 8 of $su(3)_c$, 3 of $su(2)_L$, and 1 of u(1) spin-1 gauge bosons, and a spin-0 Higgs boson ϕ_H .
- Top *t* quark found in 1995. Higgs found in 2012.
- What's next? Where is "sterile right-handed" neutrino $\bar{\nu}_R$?

Standard Model and GUT anomaly cancellation



\mathbf{SM}								$\mathbb{Z}_{2N_cN_f,\mathbf{Q}+N_c\mathbf{L}}$			$\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$
fermion	SU(3)	SU(2)	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_{\tilde{Y}}$	$U(1)_{EM}$	$U(1)_{B-L}$	$U(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_c\mathbf{L}}$	as $U(1)_{\mathbf{Q}+N_c\mathbf{L}}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_X$	$\mathbb{Z}_{5,X}$	or
spinor								mod $2N_cN_f$			\mathbb{Z}_2^F
\bar{d}_R	$\overline{3}$	1	1/3	2	1/3	-1/3	-1	-1	-3	$^{-3}$	1
l_L	1	2	-1/2	-3	0 or -1	-1	-3	+3	-3	-3	1
q_L	3	2	1/6	1	2/3 or -1/3	1/3	1	1	1	1	1
\bar{u}_R	$\overline{3}$	1	-2/3	-4	-2/3	-1/3	-1	-1	1	1	1
$\bar{e}_R = e_L^+$	1	1	1	6	1	1	3	-3	1	1	1
$\bar{\nu}_R = \nu_L$	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	-3	5	0	1

Check: discrete **Baryon** minus **Lepton** (**B** – **L**, precisely **Q** – N_c **L**) and $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - 4Y \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}$. symmetries, local vs global anomalies, index theorem and cobordism.



An important **Anomaly Index**: The family/generation number N_f and the total "sterile right-handed" neutrino number n_{ν_R} difference:

$$-N_f + n_{\nu_R} \equiv -N_f + \sum_j n_{\nu_{j,R}} = -3 + n_{\nu_{e,R}} + n_{\nu_{\mu,R}} + n_{\nu_{\tau,R}} + \dots$$

2+1d boundaries of 3+1d topological superconductor (TSC) protected by an anti-unitary time-reversal symmetry T. (Bulk-Boundary correspondence.)



 $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ class $T^2 = (-1)^F$ Time-reversal symmetric ${}^3\mathrm{He}\ \mathrm{B}\ \mathrm{phase}$

Fermion dispersion $E(\vec{k})$ in momentum space \vec{k} .

 \mathbb{Z}_{16} class TSC: 4d Atiyah-Patodi-Singer eta invariant η_{4d} .

Anomaly Cancellation via Topological Green-Schwartz mechanism. Condensed matter review: Senthil 1405.4015.

3+1d boundaries of 4+1d "topological superconductor (TSC)" protected by a unitary discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ symmetry: **Baryon** minus **Lepton** and electroweak U(1)_{\tilde{Y}}-hypercharge $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}$.



 $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ class $X^2 = (-1)^F$ symmetric Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS) eta η invariant

 $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \text{ class 5d eta invariant } \eta_{4d} (\mathsf{PD}(A_{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}})). \text{ Decorate } 3+1d \text{ TSC on} \\ X\text{-symmetry breaking domain wall in } 4+1d, \text{ and condense the domain wall configuration to restore } X \text{ symmetry.} \\ \text{Wilczek-Zee '79, Garcia-Etxebarria-Montero, Hsieh '18, Wan-JW '19, JW '20.}$

Ultra Unification (2020)



 \mathbb{Z}_{16} nonperturbative global gauge-gravitational anomaly cancellation

A unitary discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ gauge force: **Baryon** minus **Lepton** and electroweak $U(1)_{\tilde{Y}}$ -hypercharge $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}$.

$\mathsf{QFT}{+}\mathsf{TQFT}{/}\mathsf{CFT}$ on a curved spacetime under gravity.

(Lieb-Schultz-Mattis thm analogy: topological order in cond matt pseudogap)

Ultra Unification (2020)



 \mathbb{Z}_{16} nonperturbative global gauge-gravitational anomaly cancellation

A unitary discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ gauge force: **Baryon** minus **Lepton** and electroweak U(1) $_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ -hypercharge $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\gamma}$. QFT+TQFT/CFT on a curved spacetime under gravity. (Lieb-Schultz-Mattis thm analogy: topological order in cond matt pseudogap)

Ultra Unification (2020)



 \mathbb{Z}_{16} nonperturbative global gauge-gravitational anomaly cancellation



Ultra Unification (2020) 4d and 5d coupled quantum system A sterile neutrino (massless/massive) carries a \mathbb{Z}_{16} class mixed gauge-gravitational global anomaly index, which could be replaced by interacting 4d or 5d gapped topological quantum field theory, or 4d gapless conformal field theory.



8

Outline

- 1. 4d Standard Model (SM) and 5d invertible topological field theory (iTFT):
- $\mathbb{Z}^2\text{-class}$ perturb local and $\mathbb{Z}_{16}\text{-class}$ nonperturb global anomalies.
- Index theorem (Characteristic class) vs Cobordism.
- Ultra Unification: Anomaly cancellation/Cobordism constraint.
- Beyond SM and GUT + 4d TQFT/CFT + 5d iTFT sector.

2. Noninvertible Categorical Symmetry of the SM

- 4d QED example: $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{A}}$ - $\mathrm{U}(1)_{V}^{2}$ anomaly, of $\mathbb Z$ class.
- 4d SM: U(1)-gravity² and U(1)³ anomaly, of \mathbb{Z}^2 classes.
- 4d SM: \mathbb{Z}_4 -gravity anomaly, of \mathbb{Z}_{16} class.

$\begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\operatorname{gravity})^2 \text{ and } (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L})^3 \text{ as } \mathbb{Z} \cdot \operatorname{class ABJ} \text{ anomaly or 't Hooft anomaly in SM} \\ \bar{d}_R \oplus l_L \oplus q_L \oplus \bar{u}_R \oplus \bar{e}_R \oplus n_{\nu_R} \bar{\nu}_R = (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{2,L} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 2)_{-3,L} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, 2)_{1,L} \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{3}}, 1)_{-4,L} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 1)_{6,L} \oplus n_{\nu_R} (\mathbf{1}, 1)_{0,L}. \\ & \text{Global sym } \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L} \\ & \text{(Backgrd. field)} \\ & \text{(Backgrd. field)} \\ & \text{gravity} \\ & \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L} \\ & \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L} \\ \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) \cdot (\text{gravity})^2 &\Rightarrow j_{\mathbf{B}} &: 0. \\ j_{\mathbf{L}} &: -N_f + n_{\nu_R}. \\ (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L})^3 &\Rightarrow j_{\mathbf{B}} &: 0. \\ j_{\mathbf{L}} &: -N_f + n_{\nu_R}. \end{aligned}$$

The d $\star j_{B} = 0$ but d $\star j_{L} \neq 0$ d $\star (j_{B} - j_{L}) \neq 0$ only when $N_{f} \neq n_{\nu_{R}}$.

• Leptogenesis: Gravitation instanton generates unbalanced leptons. Just 't Hooft anomaly? Require the 16th Weyl fermion ν_R ? or break (**B** – **L**), or?

• We will propose new scenarios: Ultra Unification.

Anomaly polynomial of Weyl fermions: Atiyah-Singer index theorem. Anomaly of a single Weyl fermion in 4d is the degree 6 part of $\hat{A} \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E})$:

$$\hat{A} = 1 - \frac{p_1}{24} + \frac{7p_1^2 - 4p_2}{5760} + \dots,$$

$$ch(\mathcal{E}) = rk \,\mathcal{E} + c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{(c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 2c_2(\mathcal{E}))}{2} + \frac{((c_1^3(\mathcal{E}) - 3c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E}) + 3c_3(\mathcal{E}))}{6} + \frac{(c_1^3(\mathcal{E}) - 3c_1(\mathcal{E})c_3(\mathcal{E}))}{6} + \frac{(c_1^3(\mathcal{E}) - 3c_1(\mathcal{$$

- \hat{A} : A-roof genus of spacetime tangent bundle *TM*. p_j : *j*th Pontryagin class.
- ch: the total Chern character. c_j : *j*th Chern class.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{E}$ is the complex vector bundle associated w/ fermion rep.

•
$$p_1 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{Tr}[R \wedge R]. \ c_1 = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} F}{2\pi}. \ c_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} (-\operatorname{Tr}(F \wedge F) + (\operatorname{Tr} F) \wedge (\operatorname{Tr} F)).$$

For the 4d SM, the explicit $\exp(i\theta \int_{M^6} I_6)$ in terms of Pontryagin p_j and Chern c_j characteristic classes can be obtained using the expansions of \hat{A} and ch(E).

$$\begin{split} I_6 &\equiv \left(N_c c_1(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}}) + c_1(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}})\right) N_f \left(18 \, \frac{c_1(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\tilde{Y}})^2}{2} + c_2(\mathrm{SU}(2))\right) \\ &+ \left(-N_f + n_{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}}\right) \left(\frac{c_1(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}})^3}{6} - \frac{c_1(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}})p_1(TM)}{24}\right) \end{split}$$

Feynman diagram interpretations of anomaly polynomial



U(1)_{Q $\pm N_c L$}-symmetry violation B $\pm L$ (precisely Q $\pm N_c L$) current *j* nonconservation:

$$d \star j_{\mathbf{Q}} = -N_{c}N_{f}(18\frac{c_{1}(U(1)_{\tilde{Y}})^{2}}{2} + c_{2}(SU(2))).$$

$$d \star j_{\mathbf{L}} = -N_{f}(18\frac{c_{1}(U(1)_{\tilde{Y}})^{2}}{2} + c_{2}(SU(2))) - (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}})\left(\frac{c_{1}(U(1)_{L})^{2}}{6} - \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24}\right).$$

$$d \star j_{\mathbf{Q}+N_{c}\mathbf{L}} = -2N_{c}N_{f}(18\frac{c_{1}(U(1)_{\tilde{Y}})^{2}}{2} + c_{2}(SU(2))) - (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}})\left(N_{c}^{3}\frac{c_{1}(U(1)_{L})^{2}}{6} - N_{c}\frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24}\right).$$

d
$$\star j_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}} = (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) (N_{c}^{3} \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - N_{c} \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24}).$$

4d anomaly written as 5d invertible TQFT (iTFT) partition function $\mathbf{Z}_5^{U(1)} = \exp(i S_5)$:

$$S_{5} \equiv \int_{M^{5}} (N_{c}A_{\mathbf{Q}} + A_{\mathbf{L}})N_{f} \left(18 \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\tilde{Y}})^{2}}{2} + c_{2}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \right) + (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}})A_{\mathbf{L}} \left(\frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24} \right)$$

$$S_{5} \equiv (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \int_{M^{5}} A_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}} \left(N_{c}^{3} \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - N_{c} \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24} \right).$$

4d Anomaly (5d iTQFT) of 15,16 N_f -fermion $G_{SM_q} \equiv \frac{SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y}{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ $-N_f + n_{\nu_R} \equiv -N_f + \sum_j n_{\nu_{j,R}} = -3 + n_{\nu_{e,R}} + n_{\nu_{\mu,R}} + n_{\nu_{\tau,R}} + \dots$

• Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{F}} U(1)_{B-L \text{ or } X} \times G_{SM_{q}}$ -symmetry. **Z-class perturbative local anomaly** $B-L \text{ or } X \equiv 5(B-L) - \frac{2}{3}Y$: $U(1)^{3}$ and U(1)-grav²:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{5}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \int_{M^{5}} A_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}} \left(N_{c}^{3} \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - N_{c} \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24} \right) \right).$$

Index $(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$

• Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times G_{SM_q}$ -symmetry. With $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}$. \mathbb{Z}_{16} -class nonperturbative global anomaly of $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -gravity:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{5}^{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_{f} + \mathbf{n}_{\nu_{R}}) \int_{M^{5}} \frac{2\pi}{16} \eta_{4\mathrm{d}}(\mathsf{PD}(A_{\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{F}}}))).$$

Index $(-N_f + n_{\nu_R}) \mod 16 \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ and $\eta_{4d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$. 4d bdry of 5d X-symmetric topological superconductor

Classify dd Anomalies and (d + 1)d iTQFT/SPTs via Cobordism

Spacetime-internal symmetry $(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} U(1)_{B-L} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{2N_f,B+L} \times G_{\text{SM}_q}).$ Spacetime-internal symmetry $(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{2N_f,B+L} \times G_{\text{SM}_q}).$ 4d Anomaly (5d iTQFT) contained in the cobordism group $(N_f = 3)$:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{TP}_5(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{L}}\times\mathbb{Z}_{3,\mathsf{B}+\mathsf{L}}\times G_{\mathrm{SM}_q}) &= (\mathbb{Z}^{11})\times(\mathbb{Z}_9\times\mathbb{Z}_3^7).\\ \mathrm{TP}_5(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\times\mathbb{Z}_{3,\mathsf{B}+\mathsf{L}}\times G_{\mathrm{SM}_q}) &= \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}^5\times\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_4^2\times\mathbb{Z}_{16})\times(\mathbb{Z}_9\times\mathbb{Z}_3^4), & q=1,3.\\ (\mathbb{Z}^5\times\mathbb{Z}_2^2\times\mathbb{Z}_4\times\mathbb{Z}_{16})\times(\mathbb{Z}_9\times\mathbb{Z}_3^4), & q=2,6. \end{cases}\\ \mathrm{TP}_5(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{L}}\times\mathbb{Z}_{3,\mathsf{B}+\mathsf{L}}\times G_{[1]}) &= (\mathbb{Z}^2\times\mathbb{Z}_{6/q})\times(\mathbb{Z}_9\times(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2\times(\mathbb{Z}_3)^{3n_3}).\\ \mathrm{TP}_5(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\times\mathbb{Z}_{3,\mathsf{B}+\mathsf{L}}\times G_{[1]}) &= (\mathbb{Z}_{16}\times(\mathbb{Z}_4)^{n_2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{6/q})\times(\mathbb{Z}_9\times(\mathbb{Z}_3)^{2n_3}).\\ \mathrm{TP}_5(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathrm{Spin}(10)) &= \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{split}$$

Freed-Hopkins cobordism TP_D contains bordism groups $\Omega_D^{\rm torsion}$ and $\Omega_{D+1}^{\rm free}$.

Wan-JW-You, arXiv:1910.14668, 2112.14765, 2204.08393 See also Garcia-Etxebarria-Montero 1808.00009, Davighi-Gripaios-Lohitsiri1910.11277

Anomaly Matching

('tHooft Anomaly Matching: Global G symmetry)









13

Logic to Ultra Unification

 $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ global anomaly cancellation application.

Assumptions:

- Standard Model (SM) G_{internal} : Lie algebra $su(3) \times su(2) \times u(1)$. $G_{\text{SM}_q} \equiv \frac{\text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbb{Z}_q}, \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 6.$
- 2 $15 \times (N_f = 3)$ Weyl fermions (spacetime Weyl spinors) observed, applicable to both SM and SU(5) GUT.
- Obscrete Baryon-Lepton number preserved (or not) at high energy: Z_{4,X≡5(B-L)-4Y} ⊃ Z₂^F, so X² = (-1)^F, also dynamically gauged at higher energy due to no global symmetry in quantum gravity (if we embed the theory into quantum gravity).

Check: Perturbative local & nonperturbative global anomalies via cobordism.

Logic to Ultra Unification

Consequences: \mathbb{Z}_{16} anomaly index as total $(N_f = 3) \cdot (15 = -1 \mod 16)$.

 $(-(N_f=3)+\sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau,\dots}n_{\nu_{j,R}}+\nu_{\text{new hidden sectors}})=0 \mod 16.$

Anomaly-cancellation?

- (1) Standard Lore: *R*-handed sterile neutrino (16th Weyl) $n_{\nu_{j,R}} = 1$. $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ preserved (gapless fermion) vs broken (gap) by **Dirac** or **Majorana** mass.
- (2) My proposal: New hidden sectors beyond SM $(\sim Lieb-Schultz-Mattis thm)$:
 - Z_{4,X}-symmetry-preserving anomalous gapped 4d TQFT (Topological Mass). (Topo.Green-Schwarz mechanism. Boundary topological order [2+1d Vishwanath-Senthil'12].)
 - 2 $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -5d invertible TQFT (SPTs) by cobordism invariant $\eta(\mathsf{PD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}}))$.
 - 3 $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -gauged-5d-noninvertible TQFT (SETs) + gravity.

3 $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -symmetry-breaking gapped phase (e.g. Landau phase or 4d TQFT).

Solution $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -symmetry-preserving gapless or breaking gapless (e.g., extra CFT).

HEP-PH Gapped Extended 1d/2d Objects beyond 0d Particle Physics. HEP-PH Gapless Unparticle CFT Physics.



14

Math Physics Equations: Ultra Unification Path (Functional) Integral example

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{UU}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \mathbf{Z}_{\substack{\mathsf{5d} : \mathsf{TQFT}/\\\mathsf{4d}:\mathsf{SM}+\mathsf{TQFT}}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \mathbf{Z}_{\substack{\mathsf{5d} : \mathsf{TQFT}}}^{(-\nu_{\mathsf{5d}})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\substack{\mathsf{4d}:\mathsf{TQFT}}}^{(\nu_{\mathcal{U}_4})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{(\nu_{\mathcal{U}_7,R})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}].$$

 $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{SM}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \int [\mathcal{D}\psi][\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}A][\mathcal{D}\phi] \dots \exp(\mathrm{i} \left| S_{\mathrm{SM}}[\psi,\bar{\psi},A,\phi,\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \right|_{M^4})$

$$S_{SM} = \int_{M^4} \left(\operatorname{Tr}(F_I \wedge \star F_I) - \frac{\theta_I}{8\pi^2} g_I^2 \operatorname{Tr}(F_I \wedge F_I) \right) + \int_{M^4} \left(\bar{\psi}(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{D}_{A, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}}) \psi \right)$$
(Gauge) symmetry breaking
$$+ |D_{\mu, A, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}} \phi|^2 - \mathrm{U}(\phi) - (\psi_L^{\dagger} \phi(\mathrm{i} \, \sigma^2 \psi_L'^*) + \mathrm{h.c.}) d^4 x$$

$$(-(N_f = 3) + (\sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau,\dots} n_{\nu_{j,R}}) + \nu_{4d} - \nu_{5d}) = 0 \mod 16.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_{\text{5d-iTQFT}}^{(-\nu_{\text{5d}}=-2)}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\text{4d-TQFT}}^{(\nu_{\text{4d}}=2)}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] &= \sum_{\substack{c \in \partial'^{-1}(\partial[\text{PD}(\mathcal{A}^3)])}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8} \text{ABK}(c \cup \text{PD}(\mathcal{A}^3))} \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{2^{|\pi_0(M^4)|}} \sum_{\substack{a \in C^1(M^4, \mathbb{Z}_2), \\ b \in C^2(M^4, \mathbb{Z}_2)}} (-1)^{\int_{M^4} a(\delta b + \mathcal{A}^3)} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8} \text{ABK}(c \cup \text{PD}'(b))}. \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry extension trivialize anomaly (JW-Wen-Witten'17 1705.06728). Fermionic non-abelian TQFT.

Ultra Unification (2020) 4d and 5d coupled quantum system A sterile neutrino (massless/massive) carries a \mathbb{Z}_{16} class mixed gauge-gravitational global anomaly index, which could be replaced by interacting 4d or 5d gapped topological quantum field theory, or 4d gapless conformal field theory.



Fundamental Physics embodies Ultra Quantum Matter



HEP-phenomenology: beyond 0d particle physics (to gapped extended TQFT objects (topological order) or gapless unparticle CFT). Quantum Matter in Math/Physics.

Outline

1. 4d Standard Model (SM) and 5d invertible topological field theory (iTFT):

- \mathbb{Z}^2 -class perturb local and \mathbb{Z}_{16} -class nonperturb global anomalies. - Index theorem (Characteristic class) vs Cobordism.
- Ultra Unification: Anomaly cancellation/Cobordism constraint.
- Beyond SM and GUT + 4d TQFT/CFT + 5d iTFT sector.

2. Noninvertible Categorical Symmetry of the SM

- 4d QED example: $U(1)_A$ - $U(1)_V^2$ anomaly, of $\mathbb Z$ class.
- 4d SM: U(1)-gravity² and U(1)³ anomaly, of \mathbb{Z}^2 classes.
- 4d SM: \mathbb{Z}_4 -gravity anomaly, of \mathbb{Z}_{16} class.

Modern view on "Symmetry": G-K-Seiberg-W 1412.5148

Symmetry generator = charge operator = topological defect U

Invertible symmetry (group): Symmetry group G implies that the fusion rules of the charge operators U (a.k.a. topological defects) is described by the corresponding group law.

 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in G$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in G$. (e.g., G = U(1))

$$U_{\alpha_1} \times U_{\alpha_2} = U_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \qquad U_{\alpha_1} \times U_{-\alpha_1} = U_0 = 1$$

Categorical or noninvertible symmetry (fusion category):

Charge operators obey fusion rules described by a fusion category. For the full (i.e. closed under fusion) noninvertible symmetry, however, there is no longer a one-to-one correspondence —

$$\alpha = 2\pi p/N \in 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \subset 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$$

between \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} elements and the charge operators. Operators labelled by elements of a certain commutative monoid $\bm{M},$ such that the noninvertible fusion rules

$$U_{\alpha_1} \times U_{\alpha_2} = \sum_j U_{\alpha_j}$$

correspond to the monoid's binary operation and there is surjective homomorphism of monoids $\bm{M}\to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$

Snowmass White Paper review: 2205.09545 e.g., Putrov 2208.12071

4d QED example: ${\rm U}(1)_{\rm A}{\operatorname{-}}{\rm U}(1)_{\rm V}^2$ anomaly, of ${\mathbb Z}$ class

• Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly (ABJ) (1969) axial A-vector V mixed anomaly

$$\mathrm{d}\star j_\mathrm{A} = \mathrm{d}\star (\bar{\Psi}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\Psi\mathrm{d}x^\mu) = rac{1}{4\pi^2}F_\mathrm{V}\wedge F_\mathrm{V}, \qquad F_\mathrm{V} = F = \mathrm{d}A$$

Axial current j_A non-conserved under dynamical F_V .

• Choi-Lam-Shao (2205.05086), Cordova-Ohmori (2205.06243)

try to make sense
$$\mathrm{d}(\star j_\mathrm{A} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} A \wedge \mathrm{d} A) = 0.$$

Although the original $U(1)_A \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ invertible symmetry is broken by ABJ anomaly, there is a \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} subgroup that can be revived as noninvertible symmetry by decorating the 3d charge operator with 3d abelian Chern-Simons TQFT (fractional quantum Hall states).

Stoke's theorem vs Anomaly on Noether theorem • $U_{\alpha}(M) = \exp\left(\frac{i\alpha}{2} \oint_{M} \star j_{A}\right)$ at $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \cong \mathrm{U}(1)_{A}$. $U_{\alpha}(M') U_{\alpha}(M)^{-1} = e^{\frac{i\alpha}{2} \left(\int_{M'} \star j_{A} - \int_{M} \star j_{A} \right)} = e^{\frac{i\alpha}{2} \int_{M} d \star j_{A}}$ $= e^{\frac{i\alpha}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} F \wedge F} = e^{\frac{i\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} c_1^2}$ • $\hat{U}_{\alpha}(M) = \exp\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}\alpha}{2}\oint_{M}\left(\star j_{\mathsf{A}} - \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}}A \wedge \mathrm{d}A\right)\right]$ at $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathsf{A}}$. $\hat{U}_{\alpha}(M)$ topological, but not invariant under large gauge transf. • $\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{4l}}(M) = \int [\mathcal{D}a] \exp \left[i \oint_M \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N} \star j_A + \frac{N}{4\pi} a \wedge da + \frac{1}{2\pi} a \wedge dA \right) \right]$ Define 3d noniny TQFT on the bdry of 4d inv FT. $\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{N}}(M) \times \mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\dagger}(M) = \exp\left[i \oint_{M} \left(\frac{N}{4\pi} a \wedge da - \frac{N}{4\pi} \bar{a} \wedge d\bar{a} + \frac{1}{2\pi}(a - \bar{a}) \wedge dA\right)\right] \neq 1$ rational angle $\alpha = 2\pi/N \subset U(1)_A$ Noninvertible and nonunitary.

4d QED: Anomalous invertible $U(1)_A$ broken,

but noninvertible symmetry is revived Choi-Lam-Shao (2205.05086)

	$U_{\alpha}(M)$	$\hat{U}_{lpha}(M)$	$\mathcal{D}_{\frac{p}{N}}(M)$			
Conserved (Topological)	×	1	1			
Gauge-invariant	1	X	\checkmark			
Invertible	N/A	1	×			
$ullet U_lpha(M) = \exp\left(rac{ilpha}{2}\oint_M\star j_{A} ight)$ at $lpha\in [0,2\pi)\cong \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{A}}$						
• $\hat{U}_{\alpha}(M) = \exp\left[rac{\mathrm{i}lpha}{2}\oint_{M}\left(\star j_{A} - rac{1}{4\pi^{2}}A\wedge\mathrm{d}A ight) ight]$ at $lpha\in\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{A}}$						
• $\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{N}}(M) = \int [\mathcal{D}a] \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \oint_M \left(\frac{2\pi}{2N} \star j_A + \frac{N}{4\pi} a \wedge \mathrm{d}a + \frac{1}{2\pi} a \wedge \mathrm{d}A \right) \right]$						
Anomalous invertible ${ m e}^{{ m i}lpha}\in{ m U}(1)_{ m A}$ symmetry broken by ABJ anomaly, but the noninvertible counterpart survives at the						
rational angle $\alpha = 2\pi p/N \in 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \subset 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{A}}$						

4d SM suffers $U(1)^3_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_{B-L}$ -gravity² anomaly

• Chern (1946), Pontryagin (1947), Eguchi-Freund (1976)

d
$$\star j_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}} = (-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) (N_{c}^{3} \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - N_{c} \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24}).$$

Pontryagin class :
$$p_1(TM) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}[R \wedge R]$$

 $R = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega$ is the 2-form curvature of the Levi-Cevita connection 1-form ω .

Local current density:

$$j_{\mathbf{Q}} = j_{\mathbf{Q}\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} = q_{\mathbf{Q}} (\psi_{L\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi_{L\mathbf{Q}}) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}, \quad j_{\mathbf{L}} = j_{\mathbf{L}\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} = q_{\mathbf{L}} (\psi_{L\mathbf{L}}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi_{L\mathbf{L}}) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu},$$

d
$$\star j = k_1 \frac{c_1^2}{3!} + k_2 p_1$$

Putrov-JW 2302.14862

4d SM's **B-L** suffers Mixed Gravity (Spin^c $U(1)^3_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_{B-L}$ -gravity²) anomaly, but noninvertible symmetry is revived

	U(1) invertible sym	$2\pi\cdot (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ noninvertible sym
Background Grav	Ambiguous	Preserved
Semi Dynamical Grav	Broken (by Grav Anom)	Preserved
Full Quantum Grav	Broken (by Grav Anom)	Broken, or (e.g. by wormhole) Dynamically Gauged

Background vs Semiclassical Dynamical vs UV-Complete Full Quantum Gravity.

To be consistent with "No global symmetry in Full Quantum Gravity."

Stoke's theorem vs Framing Anomaly on Noether theorem
•
$$U_{\alpha}(M') U_{\alpha}(M)^{-1} = e^{i\alpha \left(\int_{M'} \star j - \int_{M} \star j\right)} = e^{i\alpha \int_{M} d \star j}$$

 $= e^{\frac{-ik\alpha}{24} \int_{M} P_{1}} = e^{\frac{ik\alpha}{24} \int_{M} \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}[R \wedge R]} = e^{\frac{ik\alpha}{24 \cdot 2\pi} \int_{M} dGCS}$.
GCS := $\frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{Tr}[\omega \wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3} \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega]$
 $\overbrace{(i)}^{M'} \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge (\star j - \frac{kGCS}{24 \cdot 2\pi})$ same form as $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M')$
• $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M) = e^{i\alpha \int_{M} (\star j - \frac{kGCS}{24 \cdot 2\pi})}$ same form as $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M')$
• $D_{(c,\mathbf{T})}(M) := e^{i c \int_{M} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \star j - \frac{1}{24} \operatorname{GCS}\right)} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[M]$.
Fix the Framing Anomaly: $f \in \pi_{3}(\operatorname{SO}(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev-type 3d TQFT \mathbf{T} with
 $[c/k = \alpha/(2\pi) \mod 1]$. $[k \in \mathbb{Z}. \ c \ \text{and} \ \alpha/(2\pi) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}]$:
 $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M) \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[M] \to \tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M) \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[M] e^{-\frac{i\alpha kf}{24}} e^{\frac{2\pi i f c}{24}}$.

Fix the Framing Anomaly

 $\tilde{U}(\mathcal{Y})$ on 3-manifold \mathcal{Y} above requires spin-connection ω and GCS defined by some vierbein $e_{\mu}{}^{a}$ (a choice of basis of orthonormal tangent spacetime, trivialization of tangent bundle $T\mathcal{Y}$).

A change of the vierbein: The homotopy classes of trivializations of $T\mathcal{Y}$, i.e. framings of the tangent bundle, form an integer class (Witten '89)

$$f \in \pi_3(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

(or a torsor over $H^3(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, Atiyah '90). Large gauge transformation of tangent bundle (whose structure group SO(3)) changes the framing by $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ units, it shifts GCS by $2\pi f$, then the charge operator changes as follows:

$$ilde{U}_{lpha}(\mathcal{Y}) \ o \ ilde{U}_{lpha}(\mathcal{Y}) \, \mathrm{e}^{-rac{\mathrm{i}\,lpha\,k\,f}{24}}.$$

When $\alpha/(2\pi)$ is rational, we compensate it by 3d WRT TQFT with a 2d rational CFT of chiral central charge $c_{-} \equiv c_{L} - c_{R} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Under the change of framing of \mathcal{Y} :

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[\mathcal{Y}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[\mathcal{Y}] e^{\frac{2\pi i f c_{-}}{24}}$$

4d SM's **B-L** suffers Mixed Gravity (Spin^c structure $U(1)^3_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_{B-L}$ -gravity²) anomaly, but noninvertible symmetry is revived

	$U_{\alpha}(M)$	$ ilde{U}_{lpha}(M)$	$D_{(c,\mathbf{T})}(M)$	$D_{(c,\mathbf{T},\Lambda,n)}(M)$
Topological (w/ Grav)	×	1	✓ ✓	✓
Topological (w/ Grav $+$ U(1))	X	X	×	✓
Grav general-covariant	1	X	✓	✓
U(1) gauge-invariant	1	×	×	✓
Unitary	N/A	1	×	×
Invertible	N/A	1	×	×

"w/ Grav" means under (semi-classical dynamical or background) gravity. • $U_{\alpha}(M) = e^{i\alpha(\int_{M} \star j)}$. • $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}(M) = e^{i\alpha\int_{M}(\star j - \frac{kGCS}{24\cdot 2\pi})}$. • $D_{(c,T)}(M) := e^{ic\int_{M}(\frac{2\pi}{k}\star j - \frac{1}{24}\operatorname{GCS})} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{T}[M]$. • $D_{(c,T,\Lambda,n)}(M) := e^{ic\int_{M}(\frac{2\pi}{k}\star j - \frac{1}{24}\operatorname{GCS})} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{T}[M] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{(\Lambda,n)}^{abCS}[M; A]$. p.s. abCS is some abelian CS theory. \therefore the anomaly is in Spin^c, so the Table is only loosely speaking.



• Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{F}} U(1)_{B-L \text{ or } X} \times G_{SM_{q}}$ -symmetry. Z-class perturbative local anomaly B-L or $X \equiv 5(B-L) - \frac{2}{3}Y$: $U(1)^{3}$ and U(1)-grav²:

$$\left| \mathbf{Z}_{5}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \int_{M^{5}} A_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}} \left(N_{c}^{3} \frac{c_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}-N_{c}\mathbf{L}})^{2}}{6} - N_{c} \frac{p_{1}(TM)}{24} \right) \right) \right|$$

Index $(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$



• Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times G_{SM_q}$ -symmetry. With $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}$. \mathbb{Z}_{16} -class nonperturbative global anomaly of $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ -gravity:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{5}^{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}}) \int_{M^{5}} \frac{2\pi}{16} \eta_{4\mathrm{d}}(\mathsf{PD}(A_{\mathbb{Z}_{2,X}}))).$$

Index $(-N_f + n_{\nu_R}) \mod 16 \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$. 4d bdry of 5d X-symmetric topological superconductor (TSC). 3d bdry topological order of 4d \mathbb{Z}_4^{TF} -TSC (Pin⁺), $T^2 = (-1)^F$. See Putrov's talk at UK Symmetry Seminar.



Ultra Unification



Ultra Unification (2020-) arXiv: 2012.15860, 1809.11171, 1910.14668, 2006.16996, 2008.06499, 2112.14765, 2204.08393 Gauge-Enhanced Quantum Criticality beyond the Standard Model (2021-): 2106.16248, 2111.10369 Strong CP problem and Symmetric Mass Generation (2022-); 2204.14271, 2207.14813, 2212.14036 Categorical Symmetry of the Standard Model from Gravitational Anomaly (2022-23): 2302.14862, 2111.10369

Conclusion

1. An invertible U(1) symmetry can suffer from mixed grav anomalies under gravitational backgrounds (such as gravitational instantons), still a certain noninvertible counterpart of discrete subgroup of U(1) can be revived as a noninvertible categorical symmetry. SM's $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}$.

2. No global sym in quantum gravity: Fate of Topological Defects? Categorical sym is broken or dynamically gauged.

3. Leptogenesis and Baryogenesis, Dirac vs Majorana masses vs exotic-BSM TQFT/CFT sectors —

Ultra Unification. THANK YOU - NYU Abu Dhabi جامعة نيويورك ابوظري NYU ABU DHABI

Back Up Slides:

Juven Wang

Ultra Unification and Categorical Symmetry of the Standard Model: Slides on http://idear.info/

28

Design Topological Operator: Compare w/ 2205.05086, 2205.06243

Let us compare two cases.

To make the defect topological, add by hand

- an improperly quantized CS term $\frac{1}{4\pi N}AdA$
- an improperly quantized GCS term $\frac{c_{-}}{24}$ GCS but then it will be non-invariant under large gauge-diffeomorphism transformations (which is the framing dependence of GCS in our story).

• For the former CS $\frac{1}{4\pi N}AdA$, it can be fixed by considering a 3d TQFT **T** with anomalous discrete magnetic 1-form \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry. Combine to get $\frac{N}{4\pi}ada + \frac{1}{2\pi}adA$ similar to our **T**' (fractional quantum Hall state).

• For the latter $\frac{c_{-}}{24}$ GCS (that depends on metric and framing), it can be fixed by a 3d WRT TQFT **T** that depends on framing with an opposite framing anomaly. The combined theory is QFT **T**' (that depends on metric). But the outcome is Topological Operator that is independent of metric and framing:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}'}[\mathcal{Y}] &:= \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{c_{-}}{24}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathrm{GCS} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[\mathcal{Y}].\\ D_{(c_{-},\mathbf{T}',\Lambda,n)}(\mathcal{Y}) &:= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\ c_{-}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \star j\right) \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}'}[\mathcal{Y}] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{(\Lambda,n)}^{\mathsf{abCS}}[\mathcal{Y};A]\\ \text{where } D_{(c_{-},\mathbf{T},\Lambda,n)}(M) &:= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\ c_{-}} \int_{M} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \star j - \frac{1}{24} \operatorname{GCS}\right) \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{T}}[M] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{(\Lambda,n)}^{\mathsf{abCS}}[M;A]. \end{split}$$

Modern view on "Symmetry"

Gaiotto-Kapustin-Seiberg-Willett (1412.5148) and many others. For the measurement of global symmetry: charge operator U that measures, charged object \mathcal{O} that is being measured.

Symmetry generator = charge operator = topological defect U

Measurement: charge operator linked with charged object in Dd.

p-symmetry (e.g., *p*-form): *p*d charged object. Codim-p + 1 thus (D - p - 1)d charge operator.



Modern view on "Symmetry"

Gaiotto-Kapustin-Seiberg-Willett (1412.5148) and many others. **Measurement**: charge operator linked with charged object in *D*d.

p-symmetry (e.g., *p*-form): *p*d **charged object**. Codim-p + 1 thus (D - p - 1)d **charge operator**.

Noether theorem: Continuous global symmetry labeled by α has a conservation $d \star j = 0$. Charge conservation $Q = \int dx^{D-1}j_0$ integrated over the spatial slice is conserved over time evolution. Define charge operator $U_{\alpha} \equiv \exp(i\alpha Q) = \exp(i\alpha \int dx^{D-1}j_0)$, then $[\hat{H}, \hat{U}] = 0$. Consider more general operator on a closed codim-p + 1 manifold,

$$U_{\alpha} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}\,\alpha \int \star j)$$

such that $d \star j = 0$ makes U_{α} topological operator in path integral.



If $d \star j \neq 0$ depends on external background \Rightarrow anomaly

Modern view on "Symmetry"

Measurement: charge operator linked with charged object in *D*d.

p-symmetry (e.g., *p*-form): pd charged object. Codim-*p* thus (D - p - 1)d charge operator.

4d U(1) gauge theory, $\int dA \wedge \star dA$ with $dA = \star dA_m$.

	0		
	charged object	charge operator	
$\mathrm{U(1)}_{[1]}^{e}$	e ^{iq_e} ∮A	$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta_e}{2\pi}} \oint (\star \mathrm{d} A) _{=\mathrm{d} A_m}$	$\{\begin{array}{c} q_e, q_m \in \\ A & A & C \end{array}$
$\operatorname{U}(1)_{[1]}^m$	$e^{i q_m \oint A_m}$	$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta_m}{2\pi}} \oint (\star \mathrm{d} A_m) _{=\mathrm{d} A_e}$	ve, vm C

4d \mathbb{Z}_N gauge theory $\int \frac{N}{2\pi} b da$.

	charged object	charge operator
$\mathbb{Z}_{N_{[1]}^{e}}$	e ^{iq} e∮a	$e^{i \frac{N\theta_e}{2\pi} \oint b}$
$\mathbb{Z}_{N_{[2]}^m}$	e ^{iq_m∮b}	e ^{i <u>Nθm</u>} ∮ a

-	$q_e, q_m \in \theta_e, \theta_m =$	$= \frac{\mathbb{Z}_N}{N} k,$	$k \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$
	$\theta_e, \theta_m =$	$=\frac{2\pi}{N}k$,	$k \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$

ℤ U(1) ·



Spacetime and internal symmetry of the Standard Model (SM)?

0-symmetry

• Spacetime symmetry: Spin group. Diffeomorphism/grav. background. $\frac{\text{Spin}}{\mathbb{Z}_{r}^{\text{F}}} = \text{SO}.$

$$G_{\mathrm{SM}_q} \equiv rac{\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\tilde{Y}}}{\mathbb{Z}_q}$$

- Internal symmetry: $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{L}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}} \mathbb{Z}_{2N_cN_f,\mathsf{Q}+N_c\mathsf{L}} \times G_{\mathrm{SM}_q}.$
- Internal symmetry after gauging G_{SM_q} : $U(1)_{B-L} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{2N_cN_f, Q+N_cL} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6/q, [1]}^e \times U(1)_{[1]}^m$.

1-symmetry

Denote 1-form symmetry $G_{[1]} = G_{[1]}^e \times G_{[1]}^m = \mathbb{Z}_{6/q,[1]}^e \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{[1]}^m$.

	$Z(G_{\mathrm{SM}_q})$	$\pi_1(G_{\mathrm{SM}_q})^{\vee}$	1-form e sym $G_{[1]}^e$	1-form m sym $G_{[1]}^m$
G_{SM_q}	$\mathbb{Z}_{6/q} imes \mathrm{U}(1)$	U(1)	$\mathbb{Z}^{e}_{6/q,[1]}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{[1]}^m$

• No C, P, T discrete symmetry within SM.

• We can replace the $U(1)_{B-L}$ to a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ (Wilczek-Zee '79) that is more robust and preserves the 4n fermion interactions (quarks and leptons with $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}$ charges 1):

$$X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - 4Y \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{Y}.$$

Tong 1705.01853, Anber-Poppitz 2110.02981. our 1912.13504, 2111.10369, 2112.14765.

Interpretation of Adler-Bell-Jackiw (axial or chiral) anomaly $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{Dirac}\Psi}[A] \text{ or } \mathbf{Z}_{\psi_L,\psi_R}[A] \xrightarrow{\psi_{L/R} \to e^{\pm i \, \alpha} \psi_{L/R}} \int [D\bar{\Psi}][D\Psi] \exp \left(i \int_{M^d} d^d x (\bar{\Psi}(i \not\!\!\!D_A)\Psi + \alpha \left(\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu,\text{Chiral}} + 2N_f \frac{(q \ g)^{d/2} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d}}{(d/2)! (4\pi)^{d/2}} F_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots F_{\dots \mu_d} \right)) \right),$

- 't Hooft anomaly of background (Backgrd.) fields. Mixed anomaly between $U(1)_V$, $U(1)_A$.
- Continuous U(1)_A anomalous, but its discrete $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_f,A}$ can be anomaly-free with U(1)_V²
- ABJ: 4d anomaly $U(1)_A$ - $U(1)_V^2$. Dynamical gauging $U(1)_V$, the $U(1)_A$ broken to $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_f,A}$.



(1) Dynamical gauge anomaly. (2) 't Hooft anomaly of background fields. (3) Adler-Bell-Jackiw (ABJ) type of anomalies. (4) Anomaly that involves two background fields of global symmetries and one dynamical gauge field. The charge $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ is quantized, thus \mathbb{Z} class **perturbative local anomaly**.

Juven Wang

34

Classify all invertible anomalies of QFT in *d*dim via cobordism $TP_{d+1}(G)$:

(Quantum) Anomaly in Physics: **Boundary** Phenomenon vs **Bulk**.

adjective for anomalies:

- (1) \mathbb{Z} vs \mathbb{Z}_n -class: perturbative local vs nonperturbative global anomaly.
- (2) probes: gauge anomaly vs mixed gauge-grav. vs gravitational anomaly.
- chiral internal symmetry: chiral or axial anomaly.
- (3) bosonic (SO/O/E) vs fermionic (Spin/Pin \pm /DPin/EPin-structure).
- (4) background fields ('t Hooft anomalies) or dynamical fields

R.B.Laughlin '81, Witten '83-85, Callan-Harvey '84-'85, Dai-Freed '94, etc.

Cobordism: Kapustin'14, Kapustin-Thorngren-Turzillo-Wang'14 (proposed), Freed-Hopkins'16 (systematic), Wan-JW'18 arXiv:1812.11967: Encode higher-sym/classifying space. Wan-JW-Zheng'19 arXiv:1912.13504 Application to SM: Garcia-Etxebarria-Montero'18, JW-Wen'18, Davighi-Gripaios-Lohitsiri'19, Wan-JW'19 arXiv:1910.14668

Classify dd Anomalies and (d + 1)d iTQFT/SPTs via Cobordism

iTQFT: invertible topological field theory. Invertible path integral Z(M). SPTs: Symmetry-protected topological state.

Bordism group (discrete and abelian group \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_n class): $\Omega_{d+1}^{\mathcal{G}}$

- +: the disjoint union.
- Closure: Disjoint union of manifolds is a manifold.
- Identity: 0 is the empty manifold.
- Inverse: $[M] + [\overline{M}] = 0$ since $\partial(M \times [0, 1]) = M \sqcup \overline{M}$.
- Associativity and commutativity: true for disjoint union.



Spin cobordism:Kapustin-Thorngren-Turzillo-Wang'14 (proposed), Freed-Hopkins'16 (systematic), Wan-JW'18 arXiv:1812.11967: Encode higher-symmetry/classifying space.

Here we only concern a cobordism group $\operatorname{TP}_{d+1}(G)$, $(\operatorname{TP}_{d+1}(G))_{\mathsf{free}} = (\Omega_{d+2}^G)_{\mathsf{free}}$: local anomaly. $(\operatorname{TP}_{d+1}(G))_{\mathsf{tors}} = (\Omega_{d+1}^G)_{\mathsf{tors}}$: global anomaly.

I. (Local) Anomalies of $\mathrm{Spin}(d) imes G_{\mathsf{SM}_q}|_{(\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\mathbb{Z}_q}$



Juven Wang

37

II. (Local+Global) Anomalies: $\operatorname{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times G_{\operatorname{internal/gauge}}$ Focus on $\mathbb{Z}_{4,X} = Z(\operatorname{Spin}(10)) \subset \operatorname{U}(1)_X$ where $X = 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - 4Y$. $G = \operatorname{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times G_{\operatorname{SM}_q}$ and $\operatorname{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times \operatorname{SU}(5)$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{TP}_{d=5}(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\times G_{\mathrm{SM}_{q}}) & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}^{5}\times\mathbb{Z}_{2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{16}, & q=1,3.\\ \mathbb{Z}^{5}\times\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{4}\times\mathbb{Z}_{16}, & q=2,6. \end{array} \right. \\ \mathrm{TP}_{d=5}(\mathrm{Spin}\times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\mathrm{F}}}\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\times\mathrm{SU}(5)) & = & \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_{2}\times\mathbb{Z}_{16}. \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in \mathsf{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_{4,X}/\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}) \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-gauge field of } \mathrm{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\text{-manifold}.$

Mutated Witten SU(2) anomaly c₂(SU(2))η̃: 4d Z₂ to Z₄ global anomaly free (q = 1, 3): c₂(SU(2))η′. 4d Z₂ to Z local anomaly free (q = 2, 6): ½CS₁^{U(2)}c₂(U(2)) ~ ½c₁(U(2))CS₃^{U(2)}.
(A_{Z₂})c₂(SU(2)): 4d Z₂ global anomaly free (q = 2, 6)
(A_{Z₂})c₂(SU(3)): 4d Z₂ global anomaly free
c₁(U(1))²η′: 4d Z₄ global anomaly free
(A_{Z₂})c₂(SU(5)): 4d Z₂ global anomaly free
(A_{Z₂})c₂(SU(5)): 4d Z₂ global anomaly free
η(PD(A_{Z₂})): Ω₅<sup>Spin×_{Z₂Z₄} ≃ Ω₄^{Pin+} = Z₁₆.
M Z₄ global anomaly not compare for 15 M. Word formions. Alternative stories?
</sup>

4d \mathbb{Z}_{16} global anomaly not canceled for $15N_f$ Weyl fermions. Alternative stories? Wan-JW 1910.14668

Juven Wang

38

Logic to Ultra Unification

$$(-(N_f=3)+\sum_{j=e,\mu, au,\dots}n_{
u_{j,R}}+
u_{\text{new hidden sectors}})=0 \mod 16$$

 $(-(N_f = 3) + \sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau,\dots} n_{\nu_{j,R}} + \nu_{4d,TQFT} + \nu_{4d,others} - \nu_{5d}) = 0 \mod 16.$

• $\nu_{\rm 4d,odd}=1,3,5,7,\dots\in\mathbb{Z}_{16}$ \Rightarrow Obstruction to symmetry-preserving gapped phase. No 4d TQFTs constructible.

Cordova-Ohmori'19 1912.13069.

• $\nu_{4d,even} = 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_{16} \Rightarrow$ Symmetry-preserving gapped phase. 4d TQFTs constructible. Based on a symmetry-extension method.

JW-Wen-Witten'17 1705.06728. Hsieh'18 1808.02881, Wan-JW-Zheng 1912.13504, JW 2006.16996, 2012.15860. Math Physics Equations: Ultra Unification Path (Functional) Integral example

$$\mathsf{Z}_{\mathrm{UU}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \mathsf{Z}_{\substack{\mathsf{5d}\text{-}\mathsf{iT}\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{F}\mathsf{T}/\mathsf{q}\mathsf{F}\mathsf{T}}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \mathsf{Z}_{\substack{\mathsf{5d}\text{-}\mathsf{iT}\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{F}\mathsf{T}}}^{(\sim_{\mathsf{5d}})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathsf{Z}_{4d\text{-}\mathsf{T}\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{F}\mathsf{T}}}^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{4d}})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathsf{Z}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{(\nu_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{R}})}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}].$$

 $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{SM}}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \equiv \int [\mathcal{D}\psi][\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}][\mathcal{D}A][\mathcal{D}\phi] \dots \exp(\mathrm{i} \left| S_{\mathrm{SM}}[\psi,\bar{\psi},A,\phi,\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \right|_{M^4})$

$$S_{SM} = \int_{M^4} \left(\operatorname{Tr}(F_I \wedge \star F_I) - \frac{\theta_I}{8\pi^2} g_I^2 \operatorname{Tr}(F_I \wedge F_I) \right) + \int_{M^4} \left(\bar{\psi}(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{D}_{A, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}}) \psi \right)$$
(Gauge) symmetry breaking
$$+ |D_{\mu, A, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}} \phi|^2 - \mathrm{U}(\phi) - (\psi_L^{\dagger} \phi(\mathrm{i} \, \sigma^2 \psi_L'^*) + \mathrm{h.c.}) d^4 x$$

$$(-(N_f = 3) + (\sum_{j=e,\mu,\tau,\dots} n_{\nu_{j,R}}) + \nu_{4d} - \nu_{5d}) = 0 \mod 16.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}_{\text{5d-iTQFT}}^{(-\nu_{\text{5d}}=-2)}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{\text{4d-TQFT}}^{(\nu_{\text{4d}}=2)}[\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}] &= \sum_{\substack{c \in \partial'^{-1}(\partial[\text{PD}(\mathcal{A}^3)])}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8} \text{ABK}(c \cup \text{PD}(\mathcal{A}^3))} \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{2^{|\pi_0(M^4)|}} \sum_{\substack{a \in C^1(M^4, \mathbb{Z}_2), \\ b \in C^2(M^4, \mathbb{Z}_2)}} (-1)^{\int_{M^4} a(\delta b + \mathcal{A}^3)} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8} \text{ABK}(c \cup \text{PD}'(b))}. \end{split}$$

Symmetry extension trivialize anomaly (JW-Wen-Witten'17 1705.06728). Fermionic non-abelian TQFT.

Anderson-Higgs symmetry breaking:

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 130, NUMBER 1

1 APRIL 1963

Plasmons, Gauge Invariance, and Mass

P. W. ANDERSON Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received 8 November 1962)

Schwinger has pointed out that the Yang-Mills vector boson implied by associating a generalized gauge transformation with a conservation law (of baryonic charge, for instance) does not necessarily have zero mass, if a certain criterion on the vacuum fluctuations of the generalized current is satisfied. We show that the theory of plasma oscillations is a simple nonrelativistic example exhibiting all of the features of Schwinger's criterion that the vector field $m \neq 0$ implies that the matter spectrum before including the Yang-Mills interaction contains m=0, but that the example of superconductivity illustrates that the physical spectrum need not. Some comments on the relationship between these ideas and the zero-mass difficulty in theories with broken symmetrics are given.

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 16

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

19 October 1964

BROKEN SYMMETRIES AND THE MASSES OF GAUGE BOSONS

Peter W. Higgs

Tait Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland (Received 31 August 1964)

In a recent note¹ it was shown that the Goldstone theorem,² that Lorentz-covariant field theories in which spontaneous breakdown of symmetry under an internal Lie group occurs contain zero-mass particles, fails if and only if the conserved currents associated with the internal group are coupled to gauge fields. The purpose of the present note is to report that, as a consequence of this coupling, the spin-one about the "vacuum" solution $\varphi_1(x) = 0$, $\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_0$:

$$\partial^{\mu} \{\partial_{\mu} (\Delta \varphi_1) - e \varphi_0 A_{\mu} \} = 0, \qquad (2a)$$

$$\{\partial^2 - 4\varphi_0^2 V''(\varphi_0^2)\}(\Delta \varphi_2) = 0,$$
 (2b)

$$\partial_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = e \varphi_0 \{ \partial^{\mu} (\Delta \varphi_1) - e \varphi_0 A_{\mu} \}.$$
 (2c)

Symmetry-breaking (Anderson-Higgs): *G* broken down to G_{sub} . **Symmetry-extension**: $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \hat{G} \xrightarrow{r} G \rightarrow 1$.



Some Boundary States for Bosons - Edward Witten

Neutrino ν_L vs TQFT sector

(Conventional) Quadratic neutrino mass term: Dirac mass with some Higgs: $(\bar{\nu}_R \phi_H^{\dagger} \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L \phi_H \nu_R)$. Majorana mass: $\frac{i m_{Maj}}{2} (\chi^T \sigma^2 \chi + \chi^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \chi^*)$.

Both Dirac and Majorana masses:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\left(l_{L\nu_{e}}, l_{L\nu_{\mu}}, l_{L\nu_{\tau}} \right) \frac{\langle \phi_{H} \rangle}{|\langle \phi_{H} \rangle|}, \chi_{\nu_{e}}^{\dagger}, \chi_{\nu_{\mu}}^{\dagger}, \chi_{\nu_{\tau}}^{\dagger} \right) \left| \begin{array}{c} 3 & 3 \\ 0 & | & M_{\text{Dirac}} \\ \overline{M_{\text{Dirac}}} & \overline{M_{\text{S}}} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} l_{L\nu_{e}} \frac{\langle \phi_{H} \rangle}{|\langle \phi_{H} \rangle|} \\ l_{L\nu_{\tau}} \frac{\langle \phi_{H} \rangle}{|\langle \phi_{H} \rangle|} \\ l_{L\nu_{\tau}} \frac{\langle \phi_{H} \rangle}{|\langle \phi_{H} \rangle|} \\ \chi_{\nu_{e}}^{\dagger} \\ \chi_{\nu_{\tau}}^{\dagger} \\ \chi_{\nu_{\tau}}^{\dagger} \end{array} \right) + h.c. \right).$$

Seesaw mechanism:

3 mass eigenstates have small mass $\simeq \frac{|M_{\text{Dirac}}|^2}{|M_{\text{S}}|} \ll |M_{\text{Dirac}}|$ for ν_L -like. 3 mass eigenstates have large mass M_{S} for ν_R -like.



The energy spectrum near the defect has energy subgap

$$\Delta_{\rm sub} \lesssim \frac{\Delta_{\rm TQFT}^2}{M_{\rm GUT,Pl}}. \qquad \Delta_{\rm small} \lesssim \frac{\Delta_{\rm sub}^2}{\Delta_{\rm TQFT}} \ll \textit{M}_{\sf Dirac}.$$

(In analogy with the vortex subgap $\Delta_{sub} \simeq \frac{\Delta_{SC}^2}{E_F}$ of superconductor gap Δ_{SC} and Fermi energy E_F .) Both can give the left-handed neutrinos small masses. (JW, Harvard HEP-String lunch Dec 1, 2020 and arXiv v3: 2012.15860)

Neutrino ν_L vs TQFT sector

Convention: Neutrino pairs up to get Dirac (left-handed ν_L with right-handed ν_R) or Majorana (right-handed ν_R with itself) mass.

My talk:

Some of right-handed neutrinos ν_R may be replaced by 4d TQFT/5d iTQFT. Left-handed neutrinos ν_L travel and interfere with the zero modes of topological defects of TQFT.

- Δ_{TQFT} gap replaces the right-handed ν_R mass M_{S} .
- Subgap Δ_{sub} or Δ_{small} gives the left-handed ν_L mass.
- Mixed scenarios.

Neutrinos: a (SU(2) singlet) right-handed neutrino (massless/massive) carries a \mathbb{Z}_{16} class mixed gauge-gravitational global anomaly index, which could be replaced by interacting 4d or 5d gapped topological quantum field theory, or 4d gapless conformal field theory. These theories provide new neutrino mass mechanisms [arXiv:2012.15860].



4d Anomaly (5d iTQFT) of 15,16 N_f -fermion $G_{SM_q} \equiv \frac{SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y}{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ Part 1:

• Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^F} U(1)_{\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{L} \text{ or } X} \times G_{\mathrm{SM}_q}$ -symmetry.

Z-class local anomaly $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}$ or $X \equiv 5(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{L}) - \frac{2}{3}Y$: U(1)³ and U(1)-grav²:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{5}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_{f} + n_{\nu_{R}})((\int_{M^{5}} Ac_{1}^{2}) + \frac{1}{48} \mathrm{CS}_{3}^{T(\mathrm{PD}(c_{1}))})).$$

$$\begin{split} &-N_f + n_{\nu_R} \equiv -N_f + \sum_j n_{\nu_{j,R}} = -3 + n_{\nu_{e,R}} + n_{\nu_{\mu,R}} + n_{\nu_{\tau,R}} + \dots \\ &\bullet \operatorname{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{F}}} \mathbb{Z}_{4,X} \times G_{\operatorname{SM}_q}\text{-symmetry.} \\ &\mathbb{Z}_{16}\text{-class global anomaly of } \mathbb{Z}_{4,X}\text{-grav}^2 : \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_5^{\mathbb{Z}_{4,X}} \equiv \exp(\mathrm{i}(-N_f + n_{\nu_R}) \int_{M^5} \frac{2\pi}{16} \eta_{4\mathrm{d}}(\mathsf{PD}(A_{\mathbb{Z}_{2,X}}))).$$

Part 2: • Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{F}}$ Spin(10)-symmetry. \mathbb{Z}_{2} -class global anomaly. $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$: $\mathbb{Z}_{5} \equiv \exp(i\pi p \int_{M^{5}} w_{2}w_{3})|_{w_{2}w_{3}(TM)=w_{2}w_{3}(V_{SO(n)})}$

• We can also include $\mathbb{Z}_{2N_f, \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{L}}$ background field.

arXiv:2112.14765

arXiv:2204.08393

Gauge-Enhanced Deconfined Quantum Criticality BSM (2021)

Deconfined Quantum Criticalities between Landau-Ginzburg phases



Gauge-Enhanced Deconfined Quantum Criticality BSM (2021)

