# Truncated Rozansky–Witten models as extended defect TQFTs

**Nils Carqueville** 

Universität Wien

based on joint work with Ilka Brunner, Pantelis Fragkos, Daniel Roggenkamp

Rozansky-Witten models: (conjectured) non-semisimple 3d TQFTs

- topological twist of supersymmetric sigma models
- (conjectured) 3-category  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{W}$
- sub-3-category  $\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  of affine target manifolds

Rozansky-Witten models: (conjectured) non-semisimple 3d TQFTs

- topological twist of supersymmetric sigma models
- (conjectured) 3-category  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{W}$
- sub-3-category  $\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  of affine target manifolds

#### Theorem.

- $\mathrm{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}})$  is pivotal symmetric monoidal 2-category.
- Every object in  $\operatorname{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}})$  is fully dualisable.

Rozansky-Witten models: (conjectured) non-semisimple 3d TQFTs

- topological twist of supersymmetric sigma models
- (conjectured) 3-category  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{W}$
- sub-3-category  $\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  of affine target manifolds

#### Theorem.

- $\mathrm{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}})$  is pivotal symmetric monoidal 2-category.
- Every object in  $\operatorname{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}})$  is fully dualisable.

Application: affine RW models give truncated extended defect TQFT



# extended



# framed extended



# **Examples of symmetric monoidal 2-categories**

 $\mathrm{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ 

- objects: disjoint unions of 2-framed points  $+,\psi$
- ▶ Hom categories: 2-framed bordisms of dimension 1 and 2

Alg

(state sum models)

- ► objects: finite-dimensional k-algebras
- Hom categories: finite-dimensional bimodules and bimodule maps

#### $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ar}$

(B-twisted sigma models)

- objects: smooth projective varieties
- Hom categories: bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves

 $\mathcal{LG}$ 

(affine Landau-Ginzburg models)

- objects: isolated singularities/potentials  $W \not \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
- Hom categories: homotopy categories of matrix factorisations

 $\operatorname{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}})$ 

(truncated affine Rozansky–Witten models)

- objects: lists of variables  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- ▶ Hom categories: potentials and isom. classes of matrix factorisations

# 3d graphical calculus

Fix symmetric monoidal 2-category with monoidal product □ horizontal composition vertical composition ∘

 $\varphi \not \in \operatorname{Hom} \left( X' \quad Y \not \downarrow, X \psi \left( Y \not \Box 1_a \right) \quad (1_w \Box Z) \right)$ 



# **3d** graphical calculus



Willerton, Barrett/Meusburger/Schaumann 2012

#### Extended TQFT

Fix a symmetric monoidal 2-category  $\mathcal{B}$ . A **2d framed extended TQFT** valued in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-functor

$$\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{fr}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{B}$$

**Theorem.** [Framed **cobordism hypothesis** in 2d (conceptual version)] 2d framed extended TQFTs are fully dualisable objects:

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{sym.\,mon.}}\left(\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{fr}}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \begin{pmatrix}\cong & (\mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{fd}})^{\times} \\ & \swarrow & \mathcal{Z}(+) \end{pmatrix}$$

Freed 1992, Baez/Dolan 1995, Lurie 2009, Schommer-Pries 2009, Pstragowski 2014

Fix a symmetric monoidal 2-category  $\mathcal{B}$ . A **2d framed extended TQFT** valued in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-functor



Fix a symmetric monoidal 2-category  $\mathcal{B}$ . A **2d framed extended TQFT** valued in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-functor



2-framing on 1-manifold M is trivialisation  $M \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , described by immersion  $\iota \colon M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and trivialisation of

normal bundle  $\nu(\iota)$ ; normal vectors are blue.

Freed 1992, Baez/Dolan 1995, Lurie 2009, Schommer-Pries 2009, Pstragowski 2014

Pstragowski 2014/2022



#### Extended framed TQFT

#### Extended framed TQFT

$$\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{\times} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Coherent Full Duality Data}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)$$

$$u\psi \longrightarrow \left(u, u \notin \widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\psi} v_{u}, \widetilde{\psi} \mathrm{eev}_{u}, S_{u}, S_{u}^{-1}, c_{1}^{u}, c_{r}^{u}, \psi v_{\mathrm{ev}_{u}}, \psi \mathrm{eev}_{\mathrm{ev}_{u}}, \psi \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{coev}_{u}}, \mathrm{eev}_{\mathrm{coev}_{u}}, \mathrm{eev}_{\mathrm{coev}}, \mathrm{eev}_{\mathrm{coev}_{u}}, \mathrm{eev}_$$

"Simply interpret bordisms in graphical calculus of  $\mathcal{B}$ ."

Freed 1992, Baez/Dolan 1995, Lurie 2009, Schommer-Pries 2009, Pstragowski 2014/2022

## (Non-)semisimple framed extended TQFTs

**Theorem.** Every *separable* (hence semisimple)  $A \notin Alg$  gives TQFT

$$\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{fr}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Alg} + \longmapsto A\psi \\ - \longmapsto A\psi \\ - \longmapsto A^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \mathbf{C}_{-}^{+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+} \longmapsto {}_{\mathbb{k}}A_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}}A^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \stackrel{+}{\longrightarrow} = \operatorname{coev}_{+} \left( \longmapsto {}_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}}A^{\mathrm{op}}A_{\mathbb{k}} \right) \\ \stackrel{+}{\longrightarrow} = \operatorname{coev}_{+} \left( \longmapsto {}_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}}A^{\mathrm{op}}A_{\mathbb{k}} \right) \\ \operatorname{coev}_{+} = S_{0}^{1} \longmapsto A\psi_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}}A^{\mathrm{op}}A\psi = \operatorname{HH}_{0}(A)$$

## (Non-)semisimple framed extended TQFTs

**Theorem.** Every *separable* (hence semisimple)  $A \notin Alg$  gives TQFT

$$\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{fr}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Alg} + \longmapsto A\psi \\ - \longmapsto A^{\operatorname{op}} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{C}^+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+ \longmapsto {}_{\mathbb{K}}A_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}A^{\operatorname{op}}} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{C}^+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+ \longmapsto {}_{\mathbb{K}}A_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}A^{\operatorname{op}}} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{C}^+} = \operatorname{coev}_+ \longmapsto {}_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}A^{\operatorname{op}}}A_{\mathbb{k}} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{coev}} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+ \operatorname{coev}_+ = S_0^1 \longmapsto A\psi {}_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}A^{\operatorname{op}}}A\psi \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{C}^+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+ \operatorname{coev}_+ = S_0^1 \longmapsto A\psi {}_{A_{-\mathbb{k}}A^{\operatorname{op}}}A\psi \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{HH}}_0(A) \\ \text{Theorem. Every} W\psi \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{C}^+} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{G} \text{ gives extended TQFT:} \\ & \operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{fr}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathcal{G} \\ & + \longmapsto W\psi \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{O}^+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+ \overset{\dagger}{\operatorname{ev}}_+ = S_1^1 \longmapsto \operatorname{Jac}_W = \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]/(\partial W\psi \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{O}^+} = 1_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+} (\operatorname{ev}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+} (1_{\mathfrak{tev}_+} \longmapsto \operatorname{multiplication in Jac}_W ) \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{O}^+} = 1_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+} (\operatorname{ev}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_+} (1_{\mathfrak{tev}_+} \longmapsto \operatorname{multiplication in Jac}_W ) \\ \end{array}$$

Lurie 2009, Schommer-Pries 2009, Carqueville/Montiel Montoya 2018

# oriented extended



#### **Oriented cobordism hypothesis**

"Rotating frames" gives rise to  $SO_2$ -homotopy action on  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{fr}$ :

$$\pi_{0}(\mathrm{SO}_{2}) (\cong \{*\}) \stackrel{\mathrm{GO}_{2}}{\Rightarrow} ( \bigoplus \operatorname{Id} \operatorname{Id} (\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{fr}}) ) ( \bigoplus \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}_{2}) (\cong \mathbb{Z} \ni -1 \longmapsto (S\psi \operatorname{Id} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Id}), S_{+} = + \mathbf{O}^{+} )$$

#### **Oriented cobordism hypothesis**

"Rotating frames" gives rise to  $SO_2$ -homotopy action on  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{fr}$ :

$$\Pi_{\leq 2}(\mathrm{SO}_2) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}\left(\mathrm{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) \\ & & & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \\ \pi_1(\mathrm{SO}_2) \\ \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \cong & \{*\} \\ \oplus & * \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Id} \\ & & & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \\ & & & & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \\ \cong & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \\ \otimes & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \\ \oplus & \mathrm{Id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \oplus & \mathrm$$

For any  $u \notin \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{fd}}$ , have **Serre automorphism** 



#### **Oriented cobordism hypothesis**

"Rotating frames" gives rise to  $SO_2$ -homotopy action on  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{fr}$ :

**Theorem.** [Oriented cobordism hypothesis in 2d] 2d oriented extended TQFTs are SO<sub>2</sub>-homotopy fixed points:

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{sym.\,mon.}}\left(\operatorname{\beta ord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{or}}, \mathcal{U} \right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left[ (\mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{fd}})^{\times} \right]^{\operatorname{SO}_2}$$

Such TQFTs  $\mathcal{Z}$  are classified by objects  $u\psi = \mathcal{Z}(+) \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{fd}}$  together with trivialisation of Serre automorphism,  $\lambda_u \colon S_u \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_u$ .

#### Oriented cobordism hypothesis at work

**Theorem.** [Oriented cobordism hypothesis in 2d (explicit version)]  $\left[ (\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{\times} \right]^{\mathrm{SO}_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{sym.\,mon.}} \left( \mathrm{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{or}}, \mathcal{B} \right) \left( \left( u, \mathcal{A}_u \xrightarrow{\lambda_u} 1_u \right) \longmapsto \left( \mathsf{b}^{\mathrm{ord}} \mathsf{ism} \longmapsto \mathsf{graphical calculus of } F(u) \& \lambda_u \right) \right) \right)$ 

#### Oriented cobordism hypothesis at work

**Theorem.** [Oriented cobordism hypothesis in 2d (explicit version)]  $\left[ (\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{\times} \right]^{\mathrm{SO}_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{sym.\,mon.}} \left( \operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{or}}, \mathcal{W} \right) \left( \left( u, \mathcal{W}_u \xrightarrow{\lambda_u} 1_u \right) \longmapsto \left( \operatorname{\mathsf{bord}}_{\mathrm{sym.\,mon.}}^{\mathrm{cm}} \right) \operatorname{\mathsf{calculus}} \operatorname{\mathsf{of}} F(u) \& \lambda_u \right) \right)$ 11# 

 $= \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u}} & \left( \operatorname{ev}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u'}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{u}^{-1} & 1_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u'}} \end{bmatrix} \left( \widetilde{\operatorname{coev}}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u'}} \right) & \Lambda_{u} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \operatorname{coev}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{u}}$ 

## **Oriented & spin extended TQFTs**

**Theorem.** Every separable symmetric Frobenius algebra  $A \notin Alg$  gives oriented extended TQFT  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{Or} \longrightarrow Alg$ .

#### **Oriented & spin extended TQFTs**

**Theorem.** Every separable symmetric Frobenius algebra  $A \notin Alg$  gives oriented extended TQFT  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{or} \longrightarrow Alg$ .

Theorem. Every  $W(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n})\in\mathcal{LG}$  gives oriented extended TQFT



#### **Oriented & spin extended TQFTs**

**Theorem.** Every separable symmetric Frobenius algebra  $A \notin Alg$  gives oriented extended TQFT  $Bord_{2,1,0}^{or} \longrightarrow Alg$ .

Theorem. Every  $W(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n})\in\mathcal{LG}$  gives oriented extended TQFT



truncated affine

# Rozansky-Witten

models

- rigorously constructed 3d TQFTs = Reshetikhin-Turaev models

Rozansky/Witten 1996, Kapranov 1997, Kontsevich 1997, Roberts/Willerton 2006, Kapustin/Rozansky/Saulina 2008, ...

- rigorously constructed 3d TQFTs = Reshetikhin–Turaev models
- RW models: conjecturally 3d TQFTs from non-semisimple data
  - $\blacktriangleright$  twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N}=4$  sigma model with holomorphic symplectic target
  - reduction on  $S^1$  gives 2d B-model
  - "has local observables"
  - participate in 3d mirror symmetry

- rigorously constructed 3d TQFTs = Reshetikhin-Turaev models
- RW models: conjecturally 3d TQFTs from non-semisimple data
  - $\blacktriangleright$  twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N}=4$  sigma model with holomorphic symplectic target
  - reduction on  $S^1$  gives 2d B-model
  - "has local observables"
  - participate in 3d mirror symmetry
- Kapustin-Rozansky(-Saulina) propose defect 3-category  $\mathcal{RW}$ :
  - $\blacktriangleright$  objects: holomorphic symplectic manifolds  $X\psi$
  - k-cells: "deformed Landau–Ginzburg models fibred over Lagrangians"

- rigorously constructed 3d TQFTs = Reshetikhin-Turaev models
- RW models: conjecturally 3d TQFTs from non-semisimple data
  - $\blacktriangleright$  twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N}=4$  sigma model with holomorphic symplectic target
  - reduction on  $S^1$  gives 2d B-model
  - "has local observables"
  - participate in 3d mirror symmetry
- Kapustin–Rozansky(–Saulina) propose defect 3-category  $\mathcal{RW}$ :
  - objects: holomorphic symplectic manifolds  $X\psi$
  - ► *k*-cells: "deformed Landau–Ginzburg models fibred over Lagrangians"
- affine case  $X\psi = T\psi \mathbb{C}^n$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright$  related to Chern–Simons theory for psl(1|1)
  - related to free  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  hypermultiplet
  - 3-category  $\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  under explicit control

- rigorously constructed 3d TQFTs = Reshetikhin-Turaev models
- RW models: conjecturally 3d TQFTs from non-semisimple data
  - $\blacktriangleright$  twisted 3d  $\mathcal{N}=4$  sigma model with holomorphic symplectic target
  - reduction on  $S^1$  gives 2d B-model
  - "has local observables"
  - participate in 3d mirror symmetry
- Kapustin–Rozansky(–Saulina) propose defect 3-category  $\mathcal{RW}$ :
  - objects: holomorphic symplectic manifolds  $X\psi$
  - ► k-cells: "deformed Landau–Ginzburg models fibred over Lagrangians"
- affine case  $X\psi = T\psi \mathbb{C}^n$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright$  related to Chern–Simons theory for psl(1|1)
  - related to free  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  hypermultiplet
  - 3-category  $\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  under explicit control

#### Upshot:

# Construct RW models as extended defect TQFTs valued in $\mathcal{C}:=\mathrm{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\mathrm{aff}}).$

Rozansky/Witten 1996, Kapranov 1997, Kontsevich 1997, Roberts/Willerton 2006, Kapustin/Rozansky/Saulina 2008, ...

#### **Basic idea**

There is a 2-category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  with

objects  $\approx$  variables 1-cells  $\approx$  polynomials 2-cells  $\approx$  matrix factorisations

#### Theorem.

 ${\mathcal C}$  is pivotal symmetric monoidal, every object is fully dualisable.

## **Basic idea**

There is a 2-category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  with

objects  $\approx$  variables 1-cells  $\approx$  polynomials 2-cells  $\approx$  matrix factorisations

#### Theorem.

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  is pivotal symmetric monoidal, every object is fully dualisable.

 ${\mathcal C}$  computes state spaces (with defects) of affine RW models.



Kapustin/Rozansky 2009, Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022, Brunner/Carqueville/Fragkos/Roggenkamp 2023

#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten theory

There is a 2-category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  with:

- objects are lists of variables  $\underline{x}\psi = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $n \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- **1-cells**  $\underline{x}\psi \rightarrow \underline{y}\psi$  are pairs  $(\underline{a}; W\psi)$  with  $W\psi \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}]$ :

$$y$$
 ( $\underline{a};W$ )  $\underline{x}\psi$ 

- horizontal composition:

$$\underbrace{(\underline{b}; V\psi\underline{b}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})) \circ (\underline{a}; W\psi\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})}_{\underline{z}} = \underbrace{(\underline{a}; \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}))}_{\underline{y}\psi} \underbrace{(\underline{a}; W)}_{\underline{z}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}} = \underbrace{(\underline{a}; \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}))}_{\underline{z}} \underbrace{(\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}; V\psi + W)}_{\underline{z}, \underline{\psi}, \underline$$

- Matrix factorisation of  $f \notin \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$  is  $(X, \#_X)$ , where
  - $X\psi = X^0 \oplus X^1$  is free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -module
  - ►  $d_X : X\psi \longrightarrow X\psi$ s odd  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -linear module map with  $d_X^2 = f\psi \mathbf{1}_X$

Example: 
$$f \psi = y^4 - x^3 \psi$$
;  $X \psi = \mathbb{C}[x, \psi]^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}[x, \psi]^2$ ,  
 $d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -y^2 - x \\ 0 & 0 & x^2 & y^2 \\ -y^2 - x & 0 & 0 \\ x^2 & y^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \end{pmatrix}$ 

- Matrix factorisation of  $f \notin \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$  is  $(X, \#_X)$ , where
  - $X\psi = X^0 \oplus X^1$  is free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -module
  - ►  $d_X : X\psi \longrightarrow X\psi$ s odd  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -linear module map with  $d_X^2 = f\psi \, 1_X$

- Matrix factorisation of  $f \notin \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$  is  $(X, \#_X)$ , where
  - $X\psi = X^0 \oplus X^1$  is free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -module
  - ►  $d_X : X\psi \longrightarrow X\psi$ s odd  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ -linear module map with  $d_X^2 = f\psi \mathbf{1}_X$
- For  $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$ ,  $i \notin \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , have Koszul matrix factorisation of  $f \not = \sum_i p_i \cdot q_i$ :

- With 
$$\partial_{[i]}^{x',x} f \psi = \frac{f(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},x'_i,\dots,x'_n) - f(x_1,\dots,x_i,x'_{i+1},\dots,x'_n)}{x'_i - x_i}$$
 have  
$$I_f := \left[\underbrace{\not}_{a}^{x',x} f, \underline{\psi} \psi - \underline{x} \right] \psi \left($$

- homotopy category of matrix factorisations  $HMF(\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}], f)$  has as morphisms even cohomology classes of differential

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]}(X, \mathscr{U}') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]}(X, \mathscr{U}')$$
$$\zeta \mathscr{U} \longrightarrow d_{X'} \circ \zeta \mathscr{U} - (-1)^{|\zeta|} \zeta \mathscr{U} d_X$$

–  $\mathrm{hmf}(\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}],f)^{\omega}:=\mathsf{idempotent}$  completion of finite-rank objects

- Knörrer periodicity:

 $\operatorname{hmf}\left(\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}], f \psi^{\omega} \cong \operatorname{hmf}\left(\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}, \psi, \psi], f \psi + uv \psi^{\omega}\right)\right)$ 

(used for unitors in C)

#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten theory

There is a 2-category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  with

- objects are lists of variables  $\underline{x}\psi = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $n \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ 

- 1-cells  $\underline{x}\psi \longrightarrow \underline{y}\psi$  are pairs  $(\underline{a}; W\psi$  with  $W\psi \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}]$ :

$$y$$
 ( $\underline{a}; W$ )  $\underline{x}\psi$ 

#### - horizontal composition:

$$\underbrace{(\underline{b}; V\underline{\psi}\underline{b},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})) \circ (\underline{a}; W\underline{\psi}\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})}_{(\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})} = \underbrace{(\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi}; V\underline{\psi}\underline{b},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi}) + W\underline{\psi}\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})}_{(\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})} \left( \underbrace{(\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi}) + W\underline{\psi}\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})}_{(\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi})} \right)$$

$$-1_{\underline{x}} = (\underline{a}; \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x})), \quad \text{(where } \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot (x_i'-x_i)$$

Kapustin/Rozansky 2009, Oblomkov/Rozansky 2018, Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022

#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten theory

There is a 2-category  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  with

- objects are lists of variables  $\underline{x}\psi = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $n \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ 

- 1-cells  $\underline{x}\psi \longrightarrow \underline{y}\psi$  are pairs  $(\underline{a}; W\psi$  with  $W\psi \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}]$ :

$$y$$
 ( $\underline{a}; W$ )  $\underline{x}\psi$ 

#### - horizontal composition:

$$\underbrace{(\underline{b}; V \underline{\psi} \underline{b}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})) \circ (\underline{a}; W \underline{\psi} \underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}) = \underbrace{(\underline{d}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}; V \underline{\psi} \underline{b}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}) + W \underline{\psi} \underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})}_{\left(\underbrace{d}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})\right) \left(\underbrace{(\underline{b}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi})}_{\left(\underbrace{d}, \underline{\psi}, \underline$$

$$-1_{\underline{x}} = (\underline{a}; \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x})), \text{(where } \underline{a}\psi(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \cdot (x_i'-x_i)$$

- Let  $(\underline{a}; W\psi, \underline{\psi}\underline{b}; V\psi: \underline{x}\psi \rightarrow \underline{y}$ . A 2-cell  $(\underline{a}; W\psi \rightarrow \underline{b}; V\psi$  is an isomorphism class  $X\psi$  of objects in  $hmf(\mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}], V\psi - W)^{\omega}$ .

Kapustin/Rozansky 2009, Oblomkov/Rozansky 2018, Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022

Let  $(\underline{a}; W\psi, \psi \underline{b}; V\psi: \underline{x}\psi \longrightarrow \underline{y}$ . A 2-cell  $(\underline{a}; W\psi \longrightarrow (\underline{b}; V\psi)$  is an isomorphism class  $X\psi$ of objects in  $hmf(\mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \psi, \psi, \psi], V\psi - W)^{\omega}$ .  $1_{(\underline{a}; W)} := I_W$ .



#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten 2-category C

Let  $(\underline{a}; W\psi, \psi \underline{b}; V\psi: \underline{x}\psi \longrightarrow \underline{y}$ . A 2-cell  $(\underline{a}; W\psi \longrightarrow (\underline{b}; V\psi)$  is an isomorphism class  $X\psi$ of objects in  $hmf(\mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \psi, \psi, \psi], V\psi - W)^{\omega}$ .  $1_{(\underline{a};W)} := I_W$ .



Kapustin/Rozansky 2009, Oblomkov/Rozansky 2018, Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022

#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten 2-category C

**Monoidal product**  $\Box$ :  $C \times C \longrightarrow C$ ,

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\Box(y_1,\ldots,y_m):=(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m)\bigg($$

# Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten 2-category C Monoidal product $\Box: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . $(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \Box (y_1,\ldots,y_m) := (x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m) \left( \left( x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m \right) \right) \left( x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m \right) \left( x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m \right) \left( x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m \right) \right)$ $\begin{array}{c} (\widetilde{\underline{b}}; \widetilde{V}\psi) & (\widetilde{\underline{a}}; \widetilde{W}\psi) \\ Y\psi & \Box & X\psi \\ (\underline{b}; V\psi) & \underline{x}' & \underline{y}\psi & (\underline{a}; W\psi) \end{array}$ $(\underline{b}; V)$ = xψ $\underline{y}'$ = $y' \Box y\psi \quad (\underline{a}, \underline{\psi}; V\psi + W\psi)$ $x' \Box x \psi$

#### Monoidal unit = $\emptyset$

(structure 2-cells explicit and unsurprising)

**Theorem.** C is symmetric monoidal 2-category with braiding



#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten 2-category C

**Lemma.** Every  $\underline{x} \notin \mathcal{C}$  has dual  $\underline{x}^{\#} := \underline{x} \psi$  with



Proof.



**Theorem.** Every  $\underline{x} \notin C$  is fully dualisable:

Proof. Explicit computation of Zorro moves, e.g.



**Lemma.** For all  $\underline{x} \notin C$ , there are precisely two isomorphisms

$$S_{\underline{x}} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\underline{x}}$$

represented by the matrix factorisations  $I_{1_x}$  and  $I_{1_x}[1]$ .

#### Truncated affine Rozansky–Witten 2-category C

**Lemma.** For all  $\underline{x} \notin C$ , there are precisely two isomorphisms

$$S_{\underline{x}} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\underline{x}}$$

represented by the matrix factorisations  $I_{1_x}$  and  $I_{1_x}[1]$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} \quad S_{\underline{x}} = (\underbrace{\varPsi_{\underline{x}} \Box \widetilde{\mathrm{ev}}_{\underline{x}}}_{\underline{x}}) \circ (\underbrace{\varPsi_{\underline{x},\underline{x}} \Box 1_{\underline{x}^{\#}}}_{\underline{x}^{\#}}) \circ (\underbrace{\Downarrow_{\underline{x}} \Box \widetilde{\mathrm{ev}}_{\underline{x}}}_{\underline{x}^{\#}}) \\ = (\underbrace{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[1]}, \ldots, \underbrace{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[2]}, \underbrace{x}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[2]}, \ldots, \underline{x}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[2]}; \sum_{i=1}^{7} \underline{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[i]} \cdot (\underbrace{x}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[i+1]} - \underline{x}^{(i)})) \\ = (\underbrace{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[1]}; \underline{a}^{(1)} \cdot (\underbrace{x}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[2]} - \underline{x}^{(1)})) \left( \circ (\underbrace{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[2]}; \underline{a}^{(2)} \cdot (\underbrace{x}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[3]} - \underline{x}^{(2)})) \right) \\ \circ \ldots \psi \circ (\underbrace{a}_{\underline{\psi}}^{[1]}; \underline{a}^{(7)} \cdot (\underbrace{x}^{(8)} - \underline{x}^{(7)})) \left( = (\underbrace{1_{\underline{x}}}_{\underline{x}})^{7} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

 $\operatorname{hmf}\left(\mathbb{C}[\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi}],\underline{\psi}\underline{a}\underline{\psi},\underline{b}\right)\cdot\left(\underline{x}\underline{\psi},\underline{y}\right)\right)^{\omega}\cong\operatorname{hmf}\left(\mathbb{C}[\underline{a},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi},\underline{\psi}],\underline{b}\underline{\psi}\underline{y}\right)^{\omega}$  $\cong \operatorname{hmf} \left( \mathbb{C}[a, \psi], \emptyset \right)^{\omega}$  $\cong \mathrm{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{C}[\underline{a},\underline{\#}]) \Big($ Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022

**Theorem.** Every  $\underline{x} \not\models (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  gives unique extended TQFT:  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{or}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ 



**Theorem.** Every  $\underline{x} \not\models (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  gives unique extended TQFT:  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{or}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  $+ \longmapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  $C^{+} = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+} \longmapsto \underline{a\psi}(\underline{x}\psi \ \underline{x}'\psi) ($   $O = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+} \stackrel{\text{tev}}{=} S^{(+)} \longmapsto (\underline{a\psi} \ \underline{a}'\psi) \cdot (\underline{x}\psi \ \underline{x}'\psi) ($   $\Theta = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+} \stackrel{\text{tev}}{=} S^{(+)} \longmapsto [\underline{a\psi} \ \underline{a}', \ \underline{x\psi} \ \underline{x}'] ($   $\Theta = \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+}} \circ \operatorname{coev}_{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}}_{+}} = S^{(+)} \longmapsto C[\underline{a},\underline{\psi}]$   $(C \oplus C[1]) (2^{2ng})$ 

**Theorem.** Every  $x \not\models (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  gives unique extended TQFT:  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{or}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  $+ \longmapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  $\begin{array}{cccc} & + & \longmapsto & (x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & & & & & \\ \bullet & & & & \\ \bullet & & & \\ \bullet & & & \\ \bullet & &$ (  $\lambda = I_{1_{x_{-}}}$  and  $\lambda = I_{1_{x}}\left[1\right]$  give equivalent TQFTs.)

obtain Rozansky-Witten state spaces from extended TQFT

## **Further directions**

**Option 1.**  $\mathcal{C}$  symmetric monoidal  $(\infty, \mathcal{P})$ -category

 $\implies$  obtain mapping class group representations

Bartlett/Douglas/Schommer-Pries/Vicary 2015, Müller/Woike 2022, Carqueville/Yang

(wip)

# **Further directions**

**Option 1.**  $\mathcal{C}$  symmetric monoidal  $(\infty, \mathcal{Q})$ -category

 $\implies$  obtain mapping class group representations

#### Option 2.

- Encorporate flavour and R-charge into new 2-category  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ :
- Every  $\underline{x} \not\in \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{gr}}$  fully dualisable,  $S_{\underline{x}}$  trivialisable.
- Get extended TQFT  $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\mathrm{gr}} \colon \operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\overline{\operatorname{or}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{gr}}$  with  $(\checkmark)$

Construction for target  $T \notin \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$  via U(1)-equivariantisation...  $(\checkmark_{wip})$ 

#### Option 4.

Consider all Rozansky–Witten models with compact target

#### Option 5. Construct extended defect TQFT

Brunner/Carqueville/Roggenkamp 2022, Brunner/Carqueville/Fragkos/Roggenkamp 2023

(wip)

(?)

 $(\checkmark)$ 

#### Extended defect TQFTs



is 2-cell in  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Extended defect TQFTs



is 2-cell in  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D})$ 

Oriented cobordism hypothesis with defects in 2d (explicit version):

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{sym.\,mon.}}\left(\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D}), \mathscr{P}\right) \stackrel{\text{\tiny (for example of } \mathcal{B})}{=} \operatorname{graphical calculus in}_{for example of } \mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{fd}}$$

Brunner/Carqueville/Fragkos/Roggenkamp 2023, Lurie 2009

#### Extended defect TQFTs



is 2-cell in  $\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D})$ 

Oriented cobordism hypothesis with defects in 2d (explicit version):

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{sym.\,mon.}}\left(\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.5cm}} \operatorname{graphical calculus in}_{(\operatorname{pivotal subcategory of } \mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{fd}})$$

**Theorem.**  $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}}) = \operatorname{Ho}_2(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}})^{\operatorname{fd}}$  is pivotal.

#### **Applications:**

- boundary conditions
   implement group actions, orbifolds
- state spaces with defects
- "turn on background connection"

Brunner/Carqueville/Fragkos/Roggenkamp 2023, Lurie 2009

#### Summary

Theorem.

Affine Landau-Ginzburg models give spin extended TQFTs

$$\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{spin}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{LG} + \longmapsto W\psi \\ \bigcirc \longmapsto \operatorname{Jac}_{W} \\ \bigcirc \longmapsto \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{(-) \, \mathrm{d}x}{\oint_{x_{1}W \dots \partial_{x_{n}}W}}\right] \left( \right)$$

#### Theorem.

Affine Rozansky-Witten models give extended defect TQFTs

$$\operatorname{Bord}_{2,1,0}^{\operatorname{def}}(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Ho}_{2}(\mathcal{RW}^{\operatorname{aff}}) \\ + \longmapsto \underline{x} \not= (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \\ S^{1} \longmapsto (\underline{a} \not= \underline{a} \not) \cdot (\underline{x} \not= \underline{x} \not) \\ \Sigma_{g} \longmapsto \mathbb{C}[\underline{a}, \underline{a}] \quad (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[1]) \Big(^{2ng} \Big)$$

Carqueville/Montiel Motoya 2018, Carqueville/Szegedy 2021, Brunner/Carqueville/Fragkos/Roggenkamp 2022